

How Many Experts? A New Task for Enterprise Search Evaluation

Gianluca Demartini

demartini@L3S.de

L3S Research Center Leibniz Universität Hannover

Outline

– Enterprise Search Evaluation

- Past approaches
- Tasks and metrics
- How Many Experts Are There?
 - Motivation
 - Evaluation
 - Possible approaches

corschungsze,

- A TREC track for ES started in 2005 (TRECent)

- TRECent measures
 - Mean AP over expert
 - and reports bpref
- Different concepts for relevance have been proposed
- Privacy is the preeminent issue in PIM and Enterprise KM

TASKS AND METRICS

– Navigational tasks

- Known Item Search
 - Generalized Success@10 (GS10)
- Home Page Finding

- GS10 or Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR)



corschungszen,

TASKS AND METRICS

Informational tasks

- Document Search: MAP
- Email Search: MAP
- Entity Search: MAP, MRR, P@10
- Transactional tasks

– Success@N

- People Search tasks
 - Expert Search task: R-Prec ≈ MAP
 - Number of experts

Outline

– Enterprise Search Evaluation

- Past approaches
- Tasks and metrics

- How Many Experts Are There?

- Motivation
- Evaluation
- Possible approaches

corschungsze,

HOW MANY EXPERTS ARE THERE?

- Task: Find the *Number of experts* on a given topic, in the Enterprise
- Motivation:
 - HR managers can better understand the knowledge power available
 - well-conceived selection of new project types
 - move the core business of the enterprise
 - identification of need for new expert employees

- General topic: larger number of people with some expertise
- Very specific topic: only few people
- An IRS should:
 - understand the specificity of the topic
 - retrieve a reasonable number of experts

HME? - New Definition of Expert

- Look outside the Enterprise!
- Consider not only experts within the Enterprise but overall experts in the topic
- Compare the most knowledgeable people working for the enterprise with the current state-of-the-art knowledge in the world on the given topic

HME? - Evaluation of the task

- Measure the quality of the esimation made by the IRS
- Possible errors are overestimation / underestimation

$$H := 1 - \frac{|SNE - UNE|}{|C|}$$

HME? - Experiments

Collection	Avg Number of experts per topic
TRECent 2005	30.18
TRECent 2006	28.4
TRECent 2007	3.04

TRECent 2006: computed H for 91 runs
Computed correlation if H with standard metrics

corschungsze,

HME? - H vs Gold Standard

 Correlation values of the IRSs rankings done according standard IR metrics and H, for the TRECent 2006 collection.

	Kendall $ au$	Spearman ρ
MAP	-0.25	0.005
R-Prec	-0.22	0.008
GMAP	-0.27	0.0006
P5	-0.1	0.30
P10	-0.15	0.09

eorschungsze,

IDENTIFYING HIGHLY EXPERT EMPLOYEES



- Thresholding the number of experts
- Thresholding on the average score
- Top N% thresholding on the average score

	Ex1	Ex2	Ex 3
1	0.9	0.5	0.3
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{0.2}{0.2}$	0.4	0.3
$\frac{2}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	0.2
J A	0.2	0.3	0.2
4	0.2	0.2	0.2

– Thresholding the expertise score

- We have motivated and defined a new Enterprise Search task (Number of Experts)
- The evaluation focuses on the quality of the estimation made by IRS
- We listed some possible approaches for solving the task

- Investigate, evaluate, and compare possible ways of identifying highly expert employees
- Study the distributions of expertise over candidates and topics
 - Empirically finding the distribution of the expertise scores (from the RSVs)
 - Approximating and normalizing RSVs.





Thanks

Gianluca Demartini